

1 MARK D. FOWLER, Bar No. 124235
2 mark.fowler@dlapiper.com
3 DAVID ALBERTI, Bar No. 220625
4 david.alberti@dlapiper.com
5 CHRISTINE K. CORBETT, Bar No. 209128
6 christine.corbett@dlapiper.com
7 YAKOV M. ZOLOTOREV, Bar No. 224260
8 yakov.zolotorev@dlapiper.com
9 CARRIE L. WILLIAMSON, Bar No. 230873
10 carrie.williamson@dlapiper.com

11 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
12 2000 University Avenue
13 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2214
14 Tel: 650.833.2000
15 Fax: 650.833.2001

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant,
17 Sun Microsystems, Inc.

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
20 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

21 SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware
22 corporation,

23 Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant,

24 v.

25 NETWORK APPLIANCE, INC.

26 Defendant-Counterclaim Plaintiff.

27 CASE NO. C-07-05488 EDL

28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR
MOTIONS TO COMPEL

29 In its April 2, 2008 Case Management Scheduling Order, the Court did not specify a
30 deadline for filing motions to compel discovery responses. Civil Local Rule 26-2 provides that
31 motions must be filed within 7 court days of the close of discovery. In its November 18, 2008
32 Order, the Court set April 24, 2009 as the close of fact discovery concerning certain of the
33 patents-in-suit.¹ As a result, the deadline for filing motions to compel concerning fact discovery

34 _____
35 ¹ The parties have agreed that a certain number of depositions that were noticed prior to the close
36 of discovery, but that could not be scheduled prior to that deadline, may proceed prior to June 19,
37 2009.

related to these patents is May 5, 2009.

The parties (between themselves and with third-parties) have been, and continue to be, engaged in what appear to be fruitful negotiations concerning their respective discovery responses. However, the volume of issues to work through is such that the meet-and-confer process is not yet complete. In light of this, the parties are concerned that, if this filing deadline is not continued, a multitude of discovery issues will be raised with the Court unnecessarily. Because the parties believe that continued discussions are likely to resolve many or all of the currently pending disputes, they hereby stipulate through their respective counsel of record, subject to the Court's approval, that the deadline for filing motions to compel discovery that is subject to the April 24, 2009 fact discovery cutoff be continued until May 22, 2009.

Dated: May 4, 2009

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

/s/ Christine K. Corbett
MARK D. FOWLER
DAVID ALBERTI
CHRISTINE K. CORBETT
YAKOV M. ZOLOTOREV
CARRIE L. WILLIAMSON

Atorneys for Plaintiff, Sun Microsystems, Inc.

Dated: May 4, 2009

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

/s/ Jeffrey G. Homrig
Matthew D. Powers
Edward R. Reines
Jeffrey G. Homrig
Jill J. Ho

Attorneys for Defendant, NetApp, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 5, 2009

